### Generative Adversarial Networks Conditional GAN and Image translation

#### Daniel Yukimura

yukimura@impa.br

October 17, 2018

# Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)

#### Review:

We have two neural networks competing

- Generator:  $G : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{X}$ .
- **Discriminator**:  $D : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0, 1]$

We want to find the parameters that reach equilibrium for the minmax game

$$G^* = \operatorname*{argmin}_{G} \max_{D} \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathbb{E}[\log D(X)] + \mathbb{E}[\log (1 - D(X_G))] \right).$$
(1)

where  $X_G = G(H)$  are the "fake" samples, given by the distribution induced by *G* from  $p_H$ .

# Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)

#### **Review**:



The majority of real applications involving generative models requires some sort of **conditioning**, such as

- Segmentation,
- "in-painting",
- Next frame prediction,
- Style Transfer.

Example: Our images have a label associated

$$(X,Y) \sim p_{data}$$
 (2)

We learn to sample from p(X | Y).

The **Conditional GAN (CGAN)** approach proposed by *Mirza and Osidero* (2014), consists on allowing both networks, *G* and *D*, to directly carry the extra information.

- We consider a condition as an event coming from a related random variable  $E = [Y = y], y \in \mathcal{Y}$ , where y could represent
  - class label
  - encoded text sentence
  - · matrix of pixels
  - other...
- Adversarial value function:

$$\nu(G, D) = \mathbb{E}_{(X,Y) \sim p_{data}}[\log D(X|Y)] + \mathbb{E}_{\substack{Y \sim p_Y \\ H \sim p_H}}[\log \left(1 - D(G(H|Y)|Y)\right)].$$
(3)



(latent space & label)

#### Example: MNIST

In this example we want to generate handwriting digits conditioned on the class they belong  $y \in \{0, 1, ..., 9\}$ :

```
class cond_Generator(nn.Module):
    def __init__(self, l_dim=128, z_dim=100, y_dim=10):
        super(cond_Generator, self).__init__()
        self.layer1_z = nn.Sequential(## 1x1 to 4x4
            nn.ConvTranspose2d(z_dim, l_dim*2, 4, 1, 0),
            nn.BatchNorm2d(l_dim*2),
            nn.ReLU())
```

```
self.layer1_y = nn.Sequential(
    nn.ConvTranspose2d(y_dim, l_dim*2, 4, 1, 0),
    nn.BatchNorm2d(l_dim*2),
    nn.ReLU())
self.layer2 = nn.Sequential(## 4x4 to 7x7
    nn.ConvTranspose2d(l_dim*4, l_dim*2, 3, 2, 1),
    nn.BatchNorm2d(l_dim*2),
    nn.ReLU())
```

```
self.layer3 = nn.Sequential(## 7x7 to 14x14
        nn.ConvTranspose2d(l_dim*2, l_dim, 4, 2, 1),
        nn.BatchNorm2d(1 dim),
        nn.ReLU())
    self.layer4 = nn.Sequential(## 14x14 to 28x28)
        nn.ConvTranspose2d(l dim, 1, 4, 2, 1),
        nn.Tanh())
def weight_init(self, mean, std):
    for m in self._modules:
        normal_init(self._modules[m], mean, std)
```

```
def forward(self, z, y):
    z = self.layer1_z(z)
    y = self.layer1_y(y)
    out = torch.cat([z,y],1)
    out = self.layer2(out)
    out = self.layer3(out)
    out = self.layer4(out)
    return out
```

```
class cond_Discriminator(nn.Module):
    def __init__(self, l_dim=128, y_dim=10):
        super(cond_Discriminator, self).__init__()
        self.layer1_x = nn.Sequential(## 28x28 to 14x14
            nn.Conv2d(1, int(1_dim/2), 4, 2, 1),
            nn.LeakyReLU(0.2))
        self.layer1_y = nn.Sequential(
            nn.Conv2d(y_dim, int(1_dim/2), 4, 2, 1),
            nn.LeakyReLU(0.2))
```

```
self.layer2 = nn.Sequential(## 14x14 to 7x7
    nn.Conv2d(1 dim, 1 dim*2, 4, 2, 1),
    nn.BatchNorm2d(l_dim*2),
    nn.LeakyReLU(0.2)
)
self.layer3 = nn.Sequential(## 7x7 to 4x4
    nn.Conv2d(1 dim*2, 1 dim*4, 3, 2, 1),
    nn.BatchNorm2d(l_dim*4),
    nn.LeakyReLU(0.2))
self.layer4 = nn.Sequential(
    nn.Conv2d(1 dim*4, 1, 4),
    nn.Sigmoid())
```

```
def weight_init(self, mean, std):
    for m in self._modules:
        normal_init(self._modules[m], mean, std)
def forward(self, x, y):
    x = self.laver1 x(x)
    y = self.layer1_y(y)
    out = torch.cat([x,y],1)
    out = self.layer2(out)
    out = self.layer3(out)
    out = self.layer4(out)
    return out
```



```
for epoch in range(n_epochs):
    for idx, (img_batch, y_batch) in enumerate(train_loader):
        # Training Discriminator
        x = to_cuda(img_batch)
        y = to_cuda(onehot_fill[y_batch])
        x_disc = D(x,y)
        D_x_loss = criterion(x_disc, D_labels)
        z = to_cuda(torch.randn(mbatch_size, z_dim).view(-1,100,1,1))
        y_rd = (torch.rand(mbatch_size,1)*10).type(torch.LongTensor).squeez
        y_label = to_cuda(onehot_encoder[y_rd])
        y_fill = to_cuda(onehot_fill[y_rd])
```

```
z_disc = D(G(z,y_label),y_fill)
D_z_loss = criterion(z_disc, D_fakes).squeeze()
D_loss = D_x_loss + D_z_loss
D.zero_grad()
D_loss.backward()
D_opt.step()
```

. . .

#### # Training Generator

- z = to\_cuda(torch.randn(mbatch\_size, z\_dim).view(-1,100,1,1))
- y\_rd = (torch.rand(mbatch\_size,1)\*10).type(torch.LongTensor).squeez
- y\_label = to\_cuda(onehot\_encoder[y\_rd])
- y\_fill = to\_cuda(onehot\_fill[y\_rd])
- z\_disc = D(G(z,y\_label),y\_fill)
- G\_loss = criterion(z\_disc, D\_labels)
- D.zero\_grad()
  G.zero\_grad()
  G\_loss.backward()
  G\_opt.step()



#### An alternative for conditioning GANs

- First train G and D as usual, and define
- Contextual Loss:

$$\mathcal{L}_{contextual}(z) = \| M \odot G(z) - M \odot y \|_1$$

• Perceptual Loss:

$$\mathcal{L}_{perceptual}(z) = \log(1 - D(G(z)))$$
(5)

#### An alternative for conditioning GANs

- First train G and D as usual, and define
- Contextual Loss:

$$\mathcal{L}_{contextual}(z) = \| M \odot G(z) - M \odot y \|_1$$
(4)

• Perceptual Loss:

$$\mathcal{L}_{perceptual}(z) = \log(1 - D(G(z)))$$
(5)

#### An alternative for conditioning GANs

- First train G and D as usual, and define
- Contextual Loss:

$$\mathcal{L}_{contextual}(z) = \| M \odot G(z) - M \odot y \|_1$$
(4)

• Perceptual Loss:

$$\mathcal{L}_{perceptual}(z) = \log(1 - D(G(z))$$
(5)

#### An alternative for conditioning GANs

• Find the best  $z \in \mathcal{Z}$  that gives the best sample for the condition.

$$\hat{z} = \operatorname{argmin}_{z} \left( \mathcal{L}_{contextual}(z) + \mathcal{L}_{perceptual}(z) \right)$$
(6)

• Then reconstruct

$$x_{reconstructed} = M \odot y + (M^{-1}) \odot G(\hat{z})$$
(7)

### An alternative for conditioning GANs

• Find the best  $z \in \mathcal{Z}$  that gives the best sample for the condition.

$$\hat{z} = \operatorname{argmin}_{z} \left( \mathcal{L}_{contextual}(z) + \mathcal{L}_{perceptual}(z) \right)$$
(6)

• Then reconstruct

$$x_{reconstructed} = \boldsymbol{M} \odot \boldsymbol{y} + (\boldsymbol{M}^{-1}) \odot \boldsymbol{G}(\hat{\boldsymbol{z}})$$
(7)



#### Formulation

- We want to learn mapping functions from two domains  ${\cal X}$  and  ${\cal Y}$  given training set of images.



#### Formulation

- We want to learn mapping functions from two domains  ${\cal X}$  and  ${\cal Y}$  given training set of images.
- $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$  from  $\mathcal{X}$  and
- $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^M$  from  $\mathcal{Y}$ .
- Now we want mappings between distributions  $X \sim p_X$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  and a distribution  $Y \sim p_Y$  in  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

#### Pixel-to-Pixel Conditioning GANs similarly to the original form:



Isola et al. 2016

#### Pixel-to-Pixel Using conditional GANs:

- Train, as usual, a conditional GAN to approximate  $y \approx G(z|x)$ .
- Since this is a very complex condition, sometimes we pair it with a regularized loss (a pixel-wise condition)

$$\mathcal{L}_{L1}(G) = \mathbb{E}_{\substack{x, y \sim p_{data} \\ z \sim p_z}} \|y - G(z|x)\|$$
(8)

building the minmax game

$$G^* = \operatorname*{argmin}_{G} \max_{D} \mathcal{L}_{CGAN}(G, D) + \mathcal{L}_{L1}(G). \tag{9}$$

#### Pixel-to-Pixel

Using conditional GANs:

- Train, as usual, a conditional GAN to approximate  $y \approx G(z|x)$ .
- Since this is a very complex condition, sometimes we pair it with a regularized loss (a pixel-wise condition)

$$\mathcal{L}_{L1}(G) = \mathbb{E}_{\substack{x, y \sim p_{data} \\ z \sim p_{z}}} \|y - G(z|x)\|$$
(8)

building the minmax game

$$G^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{G} \max_{D} \mathcal{L}_{CGAN}(G, D) + \mathcal{L}_{L1}(G).$$
(9)

From the paper of Isola et al. 2016:

• The result is improved by adding skip connections, to the generator, from layer *i* to L - i, this network is known as U - net.



From the paper of Isola et al. 2016:

• Randomness is added to the process by using **dropout** instead of adding a sample  $z \sim p_z$ .



From the paper of Isola et al. 2016:

• The discriminator has the **PatchGAN** architecture, the output is a pixel matrix in  $[0,1]^{N \times N}$  representing how believable each corresponding patch is.



#### CycleGAN

#### Unpaired Image-to-Image translation (Zhu et al. 2017)



#### CycleGAN

Unpaired Image-to-Image translation (Zhu et al. 2017)

In this approach we build two maps

$$G: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \text{ and } F: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$$
 (10)

#### i.e. we want a map from distribution $p_X$ to $p_Y$ and also an inverse one.

We also end up with two distinct discriminators

$$D_X: \mathcal{X} \to [0,1] \text{ and } D_Y: \mathcal{Y} \to [0,1].$$
 (11)

For  $x \sim p_X$  and  $y \sim p_Y$ ,  $D_X$  distinguish between x and F(y) and  $D_Y$  between y and G(x)

#### CycleGAN

Unpaired Image-to-Image translation (Zhu et al. 2017)

In this approach we build two maps

$$G: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \text{ and } F: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$$
 (10)

i.e. we want a map from distribution  $p_X$  to  $p_Y$  and also an inverse one.

· We also end up with two distinct discriminators

$$D_{\mathcal{X}}: \mathcal{X} \to [0,1] \text{ and } D_{\mathcal{Y}}: \mathcal{Y} \to [0,1].$$
 (11)

For  $x \sim p_X$  and  $y \sim p_Y$ ,  $D_X$  distinguish between x and F(y) and  $D_Y$  between y and G(x)

CycleGAN

#### Unpaired Image-to-Image translation (Zhu et al. 2017)



#### CycleGAN

• We end with a loss for G and other for F

 $\mathcal{L}_{GAN}(G, D_Y, X, Y) = \mathbb{E}_{y \sim p_Y} \log D_Y(y) + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_X} \log \left(1 - D_Y(G(x))\right) \quad (12)$ 

The other is similar taking values as  $\mathcal{L}_{GAN}(F, D_X, Y, X)$ .

Cycle consistency loss

 $\mathcal{L}_{cyc}(G,F) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_X} \|F(G(x)) - x\|_1 + \mathbb{E}_{y \sim p_y} \|G(F(y)) - y\|_1$ (13)

#### CycleGAN

• We end with a loss for G and other for F

 $\mathcal{L}_{GAN}(G, D_Y, X, Y) = \mathbb{E}_{y \sim p_Y} \log D_Y(y) + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_X} \log \left(1 - D_Y(G(x))\right) \quad (12)$ 

The other is similar taking values as  $\mathcal{L}_{GAN}(F, D_X, Y, X)$ .

Cycle consistency loss

$$\mathcal{L}_{cyc}(G,F) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_X} \|F(G(x)) - x\|_1 + \mathbb{E}_{y \sim p_y} \|G(F(y)) - y\|_1$$
(13)

#### CycleGAN

Cycle consistency loss



#### CycleGAN

· Finally our full objective is

$$\mathcal{L}(G, F, D_X, D_Y) = \mathcal{L}_{GAN}(G, D_Y, X, Y) + \mathcal{L}_{GAN}(F, D_X, Y, X) + \mathcal{L}_{cyc}(G, F)$$
(14)

Our minmax game is now given by

$$G^*, F^* = \operatorname*{argmin}_{G,F} \max_{D_X, D_Y} \mathcal{L}(G, F, D_X, D_Y). \tag{15}$$

CycleGAN

· Finally our full objective is

$$\mathcal{L}(G, F, D_X, D_Y) = \mathcal{L}_{GAN}(G, D_Y, X, Y) + \mathcal{L}_{GAN}(F, D_X, Y, X) + \mathcal{L}_{cyc}(G, F)$$
(14)

• Our minmax game is now given by

$$G^*, F^* = \operatorname*{argmin}_{G,F} \max_{D_X, D_Y} \mathcal{L}(G, F, D_X, D_Y).$$
(15)

#### CycleGAN



#### CycleGAN



Generative Adversarial Networks

#### CycleGAN



Generative Adversarial Networks

Code in PyTorch:

- pix-to-pix and CycleGAN
- Pixel-to-Pixel HD
- Video-to-Video Synthesis
- CycleGAN Colaboratory (tensorflow)